



Thank you for your interest in [A Church that Flies](#)—a four-week study of the church.

The pages which follow include:

- An overview of this series (2 pages)
- A sample sermon/class outline (6 pages)
- A sample handout (2 pages)
- A sample small group handout (2 pages)

Please be aware that this study has also been published in book form by New Leaf Books (2000). We have the book available and it can be purchased at the following rates:

- 1-5 copies @ \$15 per book
- 6-10 copies @ \$13 per book
- 11-20 copies @ \$11 per book
- >21 copies @ \$10 per book

Again, thank you for your interest in our material. If you have questions or want to place an order, contact us at:

- e-mail: lookpress@aol.com
- phone: (800) 863-5665

1730 Coachman's Court
Brentwood, TN 37027
800-863-5665
lookpress@aol.com
www.lookpress.com

Preaching through *A Church that Flies*

I offer the following series for your consideration without apology. I believe it to be a needed counterpoint to the kind of rigid patternism which has paralyzed the American Restoration Movement and kept us from making the necessary changes demanded by our culture and requested by a growing segment of our audiences. I recognize, however, that the thoughts expressed here will not meet with the approval of some of you who are preaching through the Look at the Church series. At the least, it will raise questions with some of your leaders. Not every congregation will find these ideas acceptable or helpful.

For that reason, I urge you to use special caution as you prepare to move into this series. You will need to read through these sermons carefully and give prayerful consideration to your own views on the issues raised. You will be wise to run these lessons past your leaders (or, lacking elders, a selected group of “thought leaders” in your congregation) and move toward some kind of consensus with them as to whether this series should be preached and, if so, in what way.

The premise of this series is that, as a people committed to Scripture and to a restoration of ancient ideas in a modern setting, we are necessarily committed to a kind of “patternism.” We search Scripture to identify core beliefs which become the foundation on which we build the modern church. We are not free to “make up church” as we go along. Scripture guides, and to some degree *constrains*, the way we do church today.

Having said that, it is imperative that we give careful thought to *what* we are restoring. The answer to that question has commonly been what I will refer to in these sermons as a restoration of “form.” We have assumed that, by discovering the way early Christians did church, we will be able to build a similar, and similarly dynamic, church today. And so we have focused on styles of worship, modes of leadership, methods of fellowship and evangelism, etc., in hopes that—by identifying and mimicking NT forms—we will find a way to restore NT functions.

I argue, instead, for the primacy of restoring function. What we should be looking for in Scripture is the nature of Kingdom business rather than the manner in which that business was done in the first century. We ought to focus first on the key functions of incarnation, worship, holiness, disciple-making, community, witness and ministry. Those functions were characteristic of every NT church—and, indeed, every instance of God’s people in the Old and New Testament.

An obsession with the means early Christians used to accomplish this business does not ensure we will be effective at this kingdom business today. We are all too familiar with churches convinced they are doing things right but neglecting to ask whether they are doing the right things. “Form ever follows function” . . . so the maxim goes. We must attempt to restore the functions of NT Christianity and find forms which support that endeavor.

The implications of this are profound. As you will see in the following lessons, I believe that we have ignored functions in our passion to restore forms. We may not be effective in evangelism or building intimate communities, but we can make an air tight argument for how often and in what order to take the Supper! As the world falls apart around us, we no longer have the luxury of arguing about proper procedure. We must claim the freedom to discover effective and contemporary forms for accomplishing the business God has given us to do—the business that only God’s people can accomplish in this dark world.

Bibliography

- Shelly, Rubel and Randall Harris *The Second Incarnation*. Baton Rouge, LA: Howard Publishing Co. 1992.
- Snyder, Howard. *The Community of the King*. Grand Rapids: InterVarsity Press. 1977.
- Torrance, James. *Worship, Community, and the Triune God of Grace*. Grand Rapids: InterVarsity Press. 1996.
- Warren, Rick. *The Purpose Driven Church*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan. 1995.
- Woodroof, Tim. *A Church that Flies*. Orange, CA: New Leaf Books. 2000.

Sermon Summaries

- 1. A Church that Flies**..... 1-8
 In this lesson, I use the history of flight to illustrate the dilemma the modern church finds itself in. The heart of the message deals with the movement from Tabernacle to Temple to Synagogue, and suggests that appropriate changes in the church occur as a result not only of revelation but of the times in which we live. There are a number of diagrams included to help you make your point.
- 2. How Do You Spell Church?**..... 9-14
 The conflict between Jerusalem and Antioch is studied as an example of churches doing “the same thing differently.” The Jewish Christians from Jerusalem insisted on common faith *and* common forms. Paul argued that the common faith could be expressed differently with Gentiles in Antioch than with Jews in Judea.
- 3. Form and Function**..... 15-20
 Seven basic functions of the church are discussed in this sermon. At the core of the Christian church is the call to incarnate Christ in all we do, worship, build intimate community, make disciples, live holy lives, witness effectively, and act as salt on our world. Doing these things is the business of the Kingdom. Failing to do these things will not be offset by getting the details right.
- 4. Form Against Function**..... 21-26
 Here we think about a “life-span” for religious forms. By studying circumcision, sacrifice, and Sabbath regulations, we notice how forms which were originally given to support functions gradually eclipsed and finally prevented the functions they were intended to serve. We can see this problem in others. It is harder to see it in ourselves.

A Church that Flies

Introduction:

It did not take the human race long to grow dissatisfied with the limits of gravity. Among the earliest narratives of recorded history—accounts of ancient legends and myths and dreams—are stories of men looking enviously at birds and coveting their ability to fly. It is impossible for those of us raised with airline schedules and moon-shots to appreciate how powerfully the dream of flight captured the imagination of ancient man.

1. The Ornithopterists
 - a. For thousands of years, inventors and dreamers have thought about ways to build a flying machine that could lift man into the heavens with the birds.
 - b. Most of these dreamers made the assumption that to “fly” meant to soar not just *with* the birds but *like* the birds, by flapping some sort of mechanical wings.
 - c. Historians of flight call such thinkers *ornithopterists* (“wing-flappers”) for their obsession with achieving flight by copying the manner in which birds do so.
2. The story of Icarus (Diagram #1)
 - a. From ancient Greek mythology, there is the story of Icarus who made wings fashioned of wood and feathers, held together by wax.
 - b. By flapping mightily, Icarus was able to navigate the skies.
 - c. Unfortunately, he flew so high and close to the sun that the wax melted and his feathers fell off, plunging Icarus into the Mediterranean where he drowned.
 - d. You can hear generations of mothers repeating this story to their children and warning, “If God had wanted men to fly, he would have given them wings.”
3. Leonardo Da Vinci (Diagram #2)
 - a. In the fifteenth century, Da Vinci sketched out a number of flying machines.
 - b. His designs often betray the same confusion of form and function.
 - c. Flight was thought to be possible only by giving man equipment similar to that of birds: large wings, attached to the arms or driven mechanically by pedals and chains, which could be flapped up and down to achieve lift.

It wasn't until the last hundred years that inventors were finally able to disconnect function from form, and question whether flight might be possible without mimicking birds.

1. The Wright brothers decided not to focus on birds but on the problem of flight itself.
2. Rather than building a better “flapper”, they built one of the first wind tunnels to study the effects of wind on wings.
3. With the handful of aerodynamic principles which resulted, they designed a machine to take advantage of those principles—whether it looked like a bird or not.

The rest, as they say, is history. On December 17, 1902, Wilbur and Orville flew their “Kitty Hawk Special” (Diagram #3) four times, the longest flight lasting 58 seconds. Their “flying machine” wasn't covered in feathers. It didn't have bird-shaped wings. The wings did not flap up and down. . . . But it flew.

I. The Quest for a Church that Flies

A. The history of the church has, in ways, paralleled the history of flight.

1. For decades, we have looked at the NT and dreamed of being a church that flies.
 - a. We have listened to Paul's soaring ideals for the church and shared John's vision of a holy community. We have looked enviously at Antioch's missionary fervor and
 - b. We have searched for the minutest clues regarding the behavior of early churches in hopes that some formula for effective church life would emerge.
 - c. We have dissected and classified and described every detail of early church anatomy—right down to feathers and beaks and claws.
2. Like the ornithopterists of old, we've assumed that "function" is inextricably bound to with the first century church requires us to fly *like* it.
 - a. In our minds, a restoration of the first century spirit and dynamic can be possible only when we give the modern church the same "equipment" as the ancient one.
 - b. Copying first century structures, organization, patterns and behaviors has become for us the best and necessary means for restoring the vitality of the primitive church.
 - c. If only we could reinstate the *forms*, *function* would follow.

B. Increasingly, this assumption is being called into question.

1. After 150 years of analyzing the New Testament church for "marks" and "patterns," many are growing frustrated with a modern church that may look like the ancient church in the particulars but fails to function with anything like its power and life-changing dynamic.
2. We look at our passion for doctrinal correctness and wonder that for a people so "right" we seem unable to be unified and loving and harmonious.
 - We examine our ambition to recover NT forms of worship and marvel that our worship services so often fail to move us or bring us into God's presence or cause us to cry out in confession and praise.
 - We note our fastidious care in determining the gospel message and are surprised that it has not resulted in a powerful, world-changing witness to the lost.

We want a church that flies. All the gilded models that capture the most intricate details of churches past are of little use to us if they cannot get off the ground. What is required is a church for today that soars with the same power and faith as the church created in the mind of God and envisioned by his holy apostles. We don't need to build a better "flapper" . . . more accurate, more true to scale, more meticulously detailed. We should rather be concerned to build a church that is sensitive to the same "aerodynamic principles" which lifted the church in the first century world, whether we end up looking exactly like that church or not.

The church that results will not be covered by first century culture and attitudes. It will not meet in catacombs or adopt the worship patterns of the synagogue. It will not insist on recreating every facet of ancient church life and practice.

But maybe, just maybe, it will fly.

II. Learning Church Aerodynamics

A. A Lesson from the Wright Brothers.

1. Perhaps it is time—past time—to do for the church what Wilbur and Orville did for the airplane: stop focusing on *how* the ancient church flew and start thinking about *why*.
2. Rather than continuing the attempt to fly *like* the first century church, we might better spend our time studying the aerodynamic principles that impact the church in the Apostles' day or in ours.
3. The time has come for us to build a spiritual wind tunnel.

B. A Wind-Tunnel for the Church

1. We begin by recognizing the role of Scripture in the life of the church (Diagram #4). Like a huge turbine-blade, it generates the wind to which the church must tune its wings.
 - a. The Bible, and the Spirit which energizes it, blows its gale-force breath from 2000 years in the past. It is an ancient wind, but remains living and active and powerful for the church today.
 - b. We recognize that the only legitimate source of “lift” for the church today comes not from programs or eloquent preaching or marketing but from the insistent and compelling winds generated by the mouth of God.
2. We recognize, secondly, that the modern church (Diagram #5) must be part of any tunnel we construct.
 - a. It is suspended there at the end of our hypothetical tunnel, doing its best to conform itself to the divine wind, adjusting its shape so that it ceases to fight against the word and is able, instead, to soar upon it.
 - b. Many times God's word has buffeted the church, insisting on changes here and redesign there so that the church can fly smoothly in submission to God's will.
 - c. We acknowledge the right of Scripture to change the church . . . that is at the heart of the restoration plea. Indeed, we welcome such changes. Let the wind blow straight and true, direct from the pages of our Bibles and across our willing and yielded wings.
3. What we have a harder time accepting is that there is a third component to this thing we are constructing—the tunnel itself. (Diagram #6).
 - a. The biblical wind has to blow *through* something to get to us—time and culture.
 - b. No sooner does Scripture leave the mouth of God then it is affected by the times to which it speaks—not diluted, but certainly affected. Because the church is suspended in *these* times and in *this* culture, it must deal with the cross-currents and eddies which are the realities of our daily lives.
 - c. We might wish for a church that trimmed her wings to the blow of Scripture and Scripture alone—as if there were no turbulence to deal with, no backwash created by the times in which we fly. We would like to ignore the contours of our present age and pretend that God's word blows straight from Scripture across our yielded selves.
 - d. But the truth is that God has never expected to play a duet with his people. He has always recognized the existence of a trio: His word, His people, and the world.

III. An Example of the Impact of the World on God's People.

A. Dealing with reality as we pursue God's ideals.

1. Most often, we think of the world's influence as something which must be resisted by the church at all cost. It is a contaminating influence, a God-distancing influence. Often that is exactly the case.
2. But there are also realities about our life in the world which must shape the kind of church we are or else we cease to function as God wants us to. Things happen around us which must be accounted for, must change us, if we are to be true to our calling.

B. An Example from the OT

1. The Tabernacle
 - a. When God gave the law to Moses on Sinai, he gave more than the 10 Commandments. Part of his revelation had to do with building a "tabernacle."
 - b. Large sections of Exodus and Numbers are dedicated to describing the Tabernacle in great detail: coverings of ram skins and the hide of sea cows, curtains and poles, dimensions and measurements.
 - c. The Tabernacle was, essentially, a large tent—a perfect house of worship for a nomadic people who would be on the move for centuries to come.
2. The Temple
 - a. Four hundred years later, things had changed.
 - b. The Israelites were settled in the promised land, Jerusalem had been established by David as the capitol city, and David had just completed the building of his own royal palace—a substantial and luxuriant residence for the king.
 - c. David felt embarrassed to be living in a palace while God resided in a tent (2Sa 7:2). He determined to build a permanent Temple for the Lord.
 - d. Scripture makes clear that this was David's idea, not God's (2Sa 7:7). But a new situation for the people of God introduced the need for a new house of worship. Though the Temple was made from different materials from those God had originally specified, though it was built to different dimensions, though it would be permanent rather than mobile, God nonetheless blessed David's plan and granted his presence to the new Temple (1Ki 8:10-11).
3. The Synagogue
 - a. Four hundred years later, things had changed again. Nebuchadnezzar destroyed the Temple in 586 B.C. and carried Israel away into captivity.
 - b. Cut off from the Ark and the altar and the sacrifices, Israel found herself in a strange land, struggling to relate to her God in a radically changed situation.
 - c. It was during this period that Synagogue worship evolved. There were no daily sacrifices as commanded in the Law, no high and holy days, no atonement offered at the Ark of the Covenant. Instead, there were prayers and songs and readings from Scripture.
 - d. Hundreds of years later, even after the Temple had been rebuilt, synagogue worship continued. Jesus showed God's approval for this creative adaptation on the part of his people by participating in the synagogue—even though it was never commanded or authorized in the Law.

C. What are we to make of this movement from Tabernacle to Temple to Synagogue?

1. For those of us raised on the notion that the slightest deviation from God's plan would result in fire from heaven (remember Nadab and Abihu?), this monumental move from the plans of Exodus poses a difficulty.
2. The solution, of course, lies in the fact that the world of the Jews had changed.
 - a. Faced with different circumstances, Israel implemented different solutions to the worship of her God. And God blessed the changes!
 - b. It would have been folly for Israel to insist on 'Exodus or nothing' during the exile. God did not require that of Israel. Indeed, he required just the opposite—a creative solution to a changed situation.
 - c. [Refer back to the diagrams.] God's *word* had not changed—the “wind” still blew strong from the Law. Israel was still God's “church.” What had changed were the times and circumstances in which Israel existed—the “wind tunnel” had created turbulence which God's people had to account for if they were to avoid cracking-up.

D. A preview of the same process in the NT Church.

1. Next week, we will see the same thing taking place in two NT churches.
 - a. Jerusalem and Antioch were both churches attempting to adapt themselves to the new wind that was blowing from the life of Jesus and the ministry of the Holy Spirit.
 - b. But, as we will see, they were very different churches because of where they were and to whom they ministered. Jerusalem was a Jewish church and conducted business in strictly Jewish ways. Antioch was a Gentile church and did things in a Gentile manner.
 - c. Was one right and the other wrong? Many members of the Jerusalem church thought so (Antioch had “digressed” in their opinion). But they were mistaken. Different circumstances necessarily make a difference in the shape of the church—at least if the church wants to be effective.

Conclusion:


The church today is flying in turbulent times. Suspended in the middle of late-20th century America, we find ourselves buffeted by cross-winds we have never experienced before. Those cross currents force us to rethink styles of preaching and teaching, the issues which we must address, the kinds of ministry in which we engage, the nature of our relationships, and even the lenses through which we read Scripture. There are calls to update worship styles to permit contemporary worshippers to express themselves more sincerely. There is a growing recognition that we are not having the impact on the world around us that we should—and a growing consensus that we must adapt our methods to changed circumstances. We are reassessing everything from leadership styles to community involvement to long-cherished doctrinal positions.

Increasingly, we are aware that present times differ significantly from the first-century world—or even from the 19th-century world in which our movement has its roots. For some of us, that difference comprises a clear call for the church to hold the line and refuse to “compromise” with the world by making any changes whatever—“Acts or nothing!” Others of us believe that, unless changes are made, we will cease to speak to our world (and eventually ourselves) with the life-changing message that “Jesus saves.”

All of us want to be true to the biblical winds that blow across the centuries. Should we, to be a faithful church, simply ignore the times in which we fly in order to protect the shape of the church we have inherited from our fathers? Or must we recognize that, to be an effective community of faith in these turbulent days, we are required to make changes in *form* so as to carry out our God-given *functions*?

In 1 Chronicles, Israel found herself in yet another period of turbulence and transition. Saul had died and David was to be crowned King. All Israel gathered at Hebron to anoint him. Among those who gathered were 200 chiefs of Issachar. They had fought for Saul in his battles against the Philistines and had not supported David during his exile. But times had changed. Saul was dead and David was the best candidate to lead the nation. So they came to Hebron to lend their approval to his coronation. It is interesting that the Bible notes of these men that “they understood the times and knew what Israel must do” (1Chr 12:32).

The church is in desperate need of such people today. Wise people, committed to the kingdom, who understand the times and know what the church must do. We need people who know the difference between the ever-changing shape of the church and the never-changing core at its heart. We need people who know the difference between changing to compromise and changing to be effective. We need people who are so familiar with the heart of God and the mission of his church that they can help us see the opportunities for powerful ministry in these difficult days rather than bemoaning the times in which God has placed us. May God raise up such people among us so that we can be a church that flies.



A CHURCH THAT FLIES

We have looked at the NT and dreamed of being a church that flies. The assumption has been that to fly *with* the first century church required us to fly *like* it. In our minds, a restoration of the first century spirit and dynamic could be possible only when we gave the modern church the same “equipment” as the ancient one. So we searched for the minutest clues regarding the behavior of early churches in hopes that some formula for effective church life would emerge. We dissected and classified and described every detail of early church anatomy. Copying first century structures, organization, patterns and behaviors became for us the best and necessary means for restoring in our times the vitality and performance of the primitive church. If only we could reinstate the *forms, function* would follow.

After the king was settled in his palace and the LORD had given him rest from all his enemies around him, he said to Nathan the prophet, “Here I am, living in a palace of cedar, while the ark of God remains in a tent.” . . . That night the word of the LORD came to Nathan, saying: “Wherever I have moved with all the Israelites, did I ever say to any of their rulers whom I commanded to shepherd my people Israel, “Why have you not built me a house of cedar?”

2 Samuel 7:1-2, 4, 7

After 150 years of analyzing the New Testament church for “marks” and “patterns,” many are growing frustrated with a modern church that may look like the ancient church in the particulars but fails to function with anything like its power and life-changing dynamic. We want a church that flies. What is required is a church for today that soars with the same power and faith as the church created in the mind of God and envisioned by his holy apostles. We don’t need to build a better “flapper”—more accurate, more true to scale, more meticulously detailed. We should rather be concerned to build a church that is sensitive to the same “aerodynamic principles” which lifted the church in the first century world, whether we end up looking exactly like that church or not.

The church that results will not be covered by first century culture and attitudes. It will not meet in catacombs or adopt the worship patterns of the synagogue. It will not insist on recreating every facet of ancient church life and practice. But maybe, just maybe, it will fly.

THINK ABOUT IT:

- ♦ Guided models that capture intricate details of churches past are of little use today if they cannot get us off the ground.
- ♦ God has never expected to play a duet with his people. He has always recognized the existence of a trio: His word, His people, and the world.



- ♦ *All of us want to be true to the biblical winds that blow across the centuries. Should we, to be a faithful church, simply ignore the times in which we fly in order to protect the shape of the church we have inherited from our fathers? Or must we recognize that, to be an effective community of faith in these turbulent days, we are required to make changes in form so as to carry out our God-given functions?*
- ♦ *The church today is in desperate need of wise people, committed to the kingdom, who understand the times and know what the church must do . . . people who know the difference between the ever-changing shape of the church and the never-changing core at its heart.*



WHAT'S YOUR POINT?


We tend to think of the world's influence as contaminating and God-distancing. Often that is the case. But there are also worldly realities which must shape the kind of church we are, else we cease to function as God intends. Things happen which must be accounted for if we are to be true to our calling.

Take an example from the OT. When God gave the law, he commanded that a "Tabernacle" be built. Its construction was described in great detail: coverings of ram skins and the hide of sea cows, curtains and poles, dimensions and measurements. The Tabernacle was a large tent—a perfect house of worship for a nomadic people.

Four hundred years later, things had changed. Israel was settled in the land, Jerusalem was the capitol, and David had completed a palace. Embarrassed to be living in luxury while God resided in a tent, David decided to build a *Temple* for the Lord. Though it was David's idea rather than God's, though it was built from different materials and to different dimensions, though it was fixed rather than mobile, God blessed David's plan and granted his presence to the new Temple.

Four hundred years later, things had changed again. The Temple was destroyed in 586 B.C. Israel found herself in a strange land, struggling to relate to her God in a radically changed situation. So *Synagogue* worship evolved. There were no daily sacrifices, no atonement offerings at the Ark of the Covenant. Instead, there were prayers and songs and readings from Scripture. Centuries later, even after the Temple had been rebuilt, synagogue worship continued. Jesus showed God's approval for this creative adaptation by participating in the synagogue—though it was never authorized in the Law.

What are we to make of this movement from Tabernacle to Temple to Synagogue? For those of us raised on the notion that the slightest deviation from God's plan would result in fire from heaven (remember Nadab and Abihu?), this monumental move from the plans of Exodus poses a difficulty. The solution, of course, lies in the fact that the world of the Jews had changed. Faced with different circumstances, Israel implemented different solutions to the worship of her God. And God blessed the changes! God did not require 'Exodus or nothing' of Israel. Indeed, he required just the opposite—a creative solution to a changed situation.



A CHURCH THAT FLIES

Small Group Member's Guide

ICE BREAKER

Go around the group and share your most harrowing experience of flight. How many in the group fly with absolute confidence? How many say a prayer before every take off? How many must be sedated? Now, can anyone in the group explain one of the principles of aerodynamics (e.g., how the shape of the wing creates "lift")?

CHURCH AERODYNAMICS

Sunday, we drew a parallel between the church and the quest for flight. It was suggested that, rather than copying the manner in which NT churches conducted themselves, we should try to understand the "aerodynamic principles" which make any church fly. To study those principles, we built a "wind-tunnel."

*These are the numbers of
the men armed for battle who
came to David at Hebron to
turn Saul's kingdom over to
him, as the Lord had said. . . .
men of Issachar, who
understood the times and
knew what Israel should do.*

1Chronicles 12:23, 32



There, at the far end of our wind-tunnel, sits the modern church. We want to be the church God demands. We long to be faithful to his desires and to tune our wings to his wishes. We want a church that will fly smoothly in submission to God's will.

And we acknowledge that God's will blows across the modern church from the pages of Scripture and the workings of the Holy Spirit. Though it is an ancient wind, first generated 2000 years ago, it remains living and active and powerful for the church today.



To Discuss

What we have a harder time accepting is the presence of the tunnel itself . . . and the impact it necessarily has on the shape of God's people. Time and culture introduce cross-currents and eddies which we ignore to our peril. In an ideal world, we could trim our wings to Scripture alone, without regard to the realities of present life. But these are not ideal times. They never have been for God's people.

God has always raised up people "who understood the times and knew what Israel should do." He recognizes that changing conditions and new challenges call for creativity and innovation on the part of his people. The example we used Sunday—the transition from Tabernacle to Temple to Synagogue—represents an attempt by the Israelites to remain a faithful people in the face of changing situations. To ignore the times and cling to "the old paths" would have doomed the faith of Israel to extinction. The people of God were called on to identify what was central to their faith and to find fresh ways of expressing that "core."

God has never expected to play a duet with his people. He has always recognized the existence of a trio: Himself, his people, and the world in which we live. When the church ceases to listen to God, it subverts the purpose for which it exists. But when the church ceases to listen to the times, it dooms itself to live at the margins of our culture . . . speaking the life giving message in a dead language that no longer has impact on the people around us.

So what does it mean to "understand the times" today? Who among us can we trust to "know what Israel should do"? Is there a "core" to which we must cling, even if we decide to express it in different and more contemporary ways?

The church today is in desperate need of wise people, committed to the kingdom, who understand the times and know what the church must do. We need people who know the difference between the ever-changing shape of the church and the never-changing core at its heart. We need people who know the difference between changing to compromise and changing to be effective. We need people who are so familiar with the heart of God and the mission of the church that they can help us see the opportunities for powerful ministry in these difficult days rather than bemoaning the times in which God has placed us. May God raise up such people among us so that we can be a church that flies.

- ♦ *How has the shape of the church changed even in your own life-time? Can you give examples of appropriate changes in attitude, lifestyle, or worship that you have witnessed in the church?*
- ♦ *Change can happen in the church as a result of compromise with our culture. If we listen too closely to the world without a constant appeal to Scripture, the result can only be detrimental to the church. Can you give an example of this from your own experience?*
- ♦ *Not all changes, however, are compromises. Some are absolutely necessary to continue functioning as the church in an ever changing world. Two-week long gospel meetings (or two-hour long sermons!) were once important parts of the way we did church. Are you eager to return to that pattern today?*
- ♦ *Name some people whom you believe "understand the times."*